G. R. S. IX-51

*Previous references.*

File No. 32 20

192

Serial Nos.

( )

*Later references*

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

*Serial Nos.*

Part B for

SUBJECT.

WD 2233- GPB—20,000 -11-6 20

G. R. S. III—1] 1920

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( Trichy )

NOTES.

File No. 32-20

FILE HEADING.

Revision of the rate of servants in the Sri Srikanteswaraswamy temple at Kanjanur.

From

The Dy Comm, Trichy Dt

No. R.C 42-20-21 Trichy Dated 20.7.20 Received 23.7.20

| Diary No. 179 | Enclos. 1 File | Spare Copies. — | Serial No. 1 |
|---|---|---|---|

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Submits for sanction proposals for the revision of the rate of servants in the Sri Srikanteswaraswamy temple at Kanjanur, with a view to increase the finances of the temple which are in a low ebb

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Subordinate

C.C.

23/7/20

Please put up an abstract of Rammadanbeebpuram.

The Reports of the DDO & Asst. Commr

NOTES—First Sheet.

WD 1232—GPB—20,000—18 11 19

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. Levy File No. 32 of 1920.

Serial No.

Appears She enquired to any Servantha rates — but are silent on the other matters enquired by the Dharmakartas

Attn

Vide Fl. W

At this meeting held on 6-7-192 the Dharmakartas of the Nanjangud Temple have resolved as follows:—

(1) that on account of the high prices of articles the quantity of things to be used for big sevas such as Akkadesa — vare (Rs 5 to 7-8-0) may be reduced.

(2) the money value of small sevas such as Astothra (Re 1 to Re 1-8) may be increased with a view to credit larger sums to the temple fund.

(3) that a Chatthram may be built near the temple for the accommodation

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No

pilgrims, that the amount required for the purpose may be borrowed from Govt., repayable in the course of the next 20 years. That suitable rent be received from those that take up temporary residence therein and the loan discharged with this realization and from the saving in the temple management.

If necessary certain houses to the north and south of the temple may be acquired for the purpose

(D.) That the Kunchigara chattram which has been handed over to the temple for management and which is now in a very bad state of repair, may be restored early, and the Govt be requested to bear the Charge.

(E) The big stone mantap to the east of the temple is in much disrepair. The pillars of

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No

of the Arya mathrojevini pabha to repair the building & use it for the location of a Sanscrit pathasala and ~~for such~~ it as a lecture hall may be accepted. on Condition of its reversion to the temple if the it is not used for the purpose stated, at any future time.

That Govt be requested to appoint the following persons as hereditary ~~life~~ Dharmadarsis of the temple for life.

1) Mr Srikantasastry, Agent, Sri Sringeri Matt.

2) Devan Bahadur Raghoath Dharsana Mr C. Srikantasastriyar.

3) Lokaseevanivala Mr Ambika Amniah Pandit.

4) Mr Inavaltiri Vasudeva Sabhiah

Serial No.

5) Sri Sivasamiah, Proprietor, Cauvery Rice Mill, Mysore.
B) Sri Shipre Nanjappa, Sowcar Pet.

7) That a fee of three pies be levied on each Cocoanut offered to the deity except in the following Cases:-
1) when it is offered to the deity when it is carried in procession in the streets.
2) when it is offered in the temples by the pujaris of the temples

(This exemption does not apply when Cocoanuts are offered to the deity during the car festival)

(H) That it is necessary to [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet

S. 111-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 19

Serial No.

26/7/20

In the Proceedings of the Dharmadarsees, Six proposals have been made viz (a) Increase of fees for Sevankas (b)

In the Proceedings of the Dharmadarsees, it is stated that the Inspector, the Sub Dr. Officers & the Amins were present

The proposals advanced by the Dharmadarsees are:-
(a) Reduction on the Quantity of Articles proceed for big Utsavams like Ekadasavana —

(b) The fees for [illegible]
be [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G.R S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 32 of 1912.

Serial No.

Both the Mr Dr Officer & the Dy Dr have recommended the proposals expressly the servants only - They are silent in the matter of the other proposals.

As the S.W.C States, that during the discussions, the Dramas-dancers have had the benefit of the advice of the Mirasdar-Supt, I.S. & all submit only a few words for consideration in respect of the second proposals -

Proposal (a) - To increase the quantity of Arhelis for big servants - May be adopted.

Proposal (b) - To enhance the fees for minor servants -

NOTE—Contn. sheet

S./III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 32 of 1921

Serial No.

H. D. are ~~were~~ exhibited the expiry & proposed rates of Levantha fees. ~~Per~~ Perusing the entries in the three columns headed Cash Nuias to Temple Servants, it will be seen that in respect of Seventhas already in force, the Temple Servants do not share in the benefits of the increase. This is a matter for consideration The high prices of provision keep the chief consideration for the increase, & it is ~~not~~ just that the poor Temple Servants who actually perform the services of the Seventhas should also be allowed a share in the increase. This will go a great way to pacify them some relief which otherwise they might demand in the shape of enhanced pay of the Temple [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

The Rate-Inhabitants of the enhanced Servantha fees. ~~are to be~~ under the three heads:-

i Nuias

ii Archers 2nd.

iii Credit to Temple funds.

~~May~~ The Nuias a matter ~~of~~ for Remuneration ~~of~~ may be used to the Dr. or even the Dhanuddaries. for such Remuneration

(C) Construction of a Chattram at Vaigunthpd.

A Chattram is undid. a much felt want. in a place like Vaigunthpd. The proposal of the Dhanuddaries to Construct one as an appendage to the Temple - may perhaps be used - if funds can be advanced from ~~other~~ Nuias Supplementary ~~Fees~~ part hand. ~~Rs~~ The Board may also be requested to ~~Sanction~~

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 32 of 1911.

Serial No.

Consider a Contribution particularly as the Board have ~~had~~ been given away the L- Fleurons.

(a) The removal of the Kunchipan Chattram:- This will be worked up & submitted as a separate subject for orders - both.

(b) The transfer of a Stone Mantap to the west of the Temple to Yoga Matha pranidhana -.

The D^r is I presume that this question has formed the subject of a local correspondence at about this time last year - Myself having dealt with it while in Mysore Dr -.

The D^r may be requested to report his opinion on the question -

GPB—60,000—7-11-13

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 32 of 1925/M

Serial No.

Of Appointment of life Pensioners.

This is rather a very delicate question but I beg leave to submit - that such appointment - if once decided on - may be in this particular case - will set up a very inconvenient precedent ~~for~~ giving rise to claims from all quarters - And in course of time, it may happen that the descendents of the life pensioners may set themselves up as Managers by virtue of some undefined hereditary right which they might be advised to prefer and assert, in the order of appointment of life

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 37 of 1920-1

Serial No.

Memorandum —.
The proposal may, if necessary, be submitted, be filed for the present — The Head Question may be worked —
+ (9) The levy of a fee of 3 pie. on every Covenant —.

This proposal will be worked up separately —.
~~Head with the work~~ ~~levy of 6~~ — The Head Question may be written

H. Arrangement for Vedaparayana during processions:
This is very necessary
~~Head may be deferred~~ proposals may be invited for the ~~Head~~ Mahotsavam —

Allts
28/7/20

Vorsey)

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

PB—20 000—20 1-12

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 32 of 1924

Serial No.

Although 4 Several Resolutions have been passed by the Pharmacy Council, the only question on which a Resolution has been made by the Surgeon General is that relating to the revision of the Seventeen Fees and Fees Orders for Class Servants, a Workman is given as Cook Mason to Temple Servants, Another Workman is Sweeper in the purchase of articles required for the Temples and the Salary is credited to the Temple Funds. Flag A shows the Rates levied at present and those proposed by the Pharmacy to be levied in future and how these sums are at present distributed and are hereafter proposed to be distributed The total fee for all the Services is now Rs 116-10-0 and the is proposed to be raised to Rs 187-6-3. The increases proposed are not very great

This is the only question and such orders are now needed.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

and are not likely to be felt as hardship by the dancers.

The share which the temple servants get at present is Rs 54-1-1, and under the fresh proposals ~~these~~ their share will be Rs 57-12-6. Their interests suffer in no way by the Dharmakarta's proposals.

The Amount spent on the purchase of articles now is Rs 57-15-8, while that proposed to be spent in future is Rs 93-13-9.

The temple now gets Rs 4-9-3 and if the proposals are adopted it will get Rs 35-12-0.

I do not think there is any necessity to refer the matter afresh to the Dy Commr.

The proposals in respect of the Sevastan fees which have been unanimously agreed to by the Dharmakartas and are recommended by both the Asst Dy Commr and the Dy Commr may be sanctioned. The Asst Dy Commr requests

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

R. S. III-2j

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Jud — File No. 32 of 1920

Serial No.

Sanction to the proposals of the Dharmakarta and the Commr. says that he fully concurs with the opinion of the Sub Dy officer. As it conduces to the increase of the Revenue of the Temple.

The Dy Commr may also be asked to submit his views in respect of the other proposals of the Dharmakarta

(Second Member) WJ 28/7/20

526-281
29.7.20.

I agree with the Hon'ble Member. The small increase in the fees proposed is not likely to be felt as a grievance & in the case of such temples as those on Tirupathi Hill. The fees are much higher & recurring per time & time. I do not see any reasons why the recommendation made in this behalf should not be accepted. The D.C may be asked to submit his views on the other recommendations of the Dharmakarta at an early date. It is strange that the report is silent in regard to these

Peel
30.7.20.

Agreed
WMT 31.7.20

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

K. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Irrig File No. 32 of 1920

Serial No.

968-281.
13.8.20

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on AUG 14 1920
Reg. No. 6143

1000
18.8.20

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

13/8/20 (Secy) 9.8.20

(Secretary) U/S 13/8/20

Dy. C. approved.

File may be submitted for the information of the Dewan before issue of orders.

Recd 14.8.20

(Dewan) U/S 14/8/20

Should not all the resolutions be disposed of in one order? If the present case is urgent perhaps it may be submitted to H.H., if the members think the simple importance justifies such submission.

16.8

(Secretary) U/S 17/8/20

It is likely that it will take some time for the Govt. resolutions being received & considered

Final Note by the Second Member of Council.

---

**Subject:** -

Revision of the scale of Sevarthas in Sri Srikanteswara Swami temple at Nanjangud.

---

The Sri Srikanteswara Swami temple at Nanjangud, which is one of the most important of the Muzrai Institutions in the State, has not been able, for some time past, to meet its normal expenditure, and the question of augmenting its resources has been under the consideration of the temple authorities, as well as the Taluk and the District Officers. The matter was considered at a meeting of the Dharmadarsis of the temple held on the 6th July 1920, at which the Muzrai Superintendent in Mysore was also present.

2. It was resolved at the meeting that the cost of certain Sevarthas might be increased and that a small fee of 3 pies levied on every cocoanut offered to the deity by the devotees.

3. The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, recommends the adoption of the revised rates proposed by the Dharmadarsis; and I also consider that the proposals are suitable and may be adopted.

4. A draft Government Order sanctioning the recommendations of the Deputy Commissioner, is put up for gracious approval.

5. The Dharmadarsis have passed resolutions on some other points, but as the Deputy Commissioner has not reported on them, his views will be called for and the questions dealt with in due course. In the interests of the income of the temple, it is not considered desirable to wait for this report and to withhold sanction to the present proposal.

P Raghavendra Rao
MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 23.8.20

23rd August 1920.

*Submitted to His Highness.*

*I submit herewith a Final Note by the*....Second.

*Member of Council on the subject of*....the..revision..of

the..rate..of..sevarthas. in...Sri..Srikanteswara..Swami.

temple..at..Nanjangud.,..... .... . . ... ..... ..... ..... ..... .....

*for His Highness' gracious approval.*

Dewan.

25-6-20

**Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.**

*Subject.*




Revision of the rate of sevarthas in the Sri Srikanteswara Swami temple at Nanjangud.

*Order.*

KRW

28/8/20

Muzrai Secy

29/8

For kind perusal

Second Member 30/8/20

SEM.

G.O will issue now

1/9/20

1.9.20.

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 32 of 1920.

| Serial No. | |
|---|---|
| 2. | To, H.C. Mysore; Comptr; Mrg Supt; Hon. Secy<br>No. 934-7 dy. 7.9.20.<br>Sanctions the revision of the rates of certain Servatthas in Sri Srikanteswara Swami Temple at Nanjangud. |
| 3. | Letter No Rep.C.504/20-21 dy 2 & 3rd March dy 21 from the Dy Commr, Mysore &c. requesting that the Vgr. records may be returned<br>Submitted with a draft.<br>HS 31/3/21<br>~~SD~~<br>31/3 |
| 4 | To, Dy. Commr. Mysore Dist.<br>No. 3574 dy 8.4.1921<br>Returns Revenue Records in question. |

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

DIARY No. 1749
1920 21
SECTION Mlle 2
FILE No. 32 — 20
SER. No. 1

1920-21

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore district

To The Secretary
to the Government of H.H. the
Maharaja of Mysore, Miscel.
department, Bangalore

Dated
Despatched } 20th July 1920.
Received

General No.
No.

Enclosure No.

Submitting for sanction the revision
of the rate of Swarthas in the
Sri Shankaramma Swamy Choultry at
Nanjangud.

Rfs. No. 42 of 20-21 of
Confl

Office of the Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District.

Dated, Mysore, 19th July 1920.

From

P.F. Bowring, Esquire,

Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

To

T.E. Jayarama Iyer, Esq., B.A.,B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Muzrai Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

In forwarding herewith the file of correspondence ending with the Nanjangud Sub Division Officer's letter No.R.H.C.39 of 1920-21 dated the 14th instant, in the matter of the revision of the rate of sevarthas in the Sri Srikanteswaraswami Temple at Nanjangud, I have the honor to state that I fully concur with the opinion of the Sub Division Officer, as it conduces to the increase of the revenue of the temple.

I request therefore that early sanction of Government for the proposed rates of sevarthas as shown in the statement, may be obtained.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

Proceedings of the Government of H.H

the Maharaja of Mysore

---

Read:--

Letter No.R.C.42 of 20-21/Mus. ..
dated the 20th July 1920, from the Deputy
Commissioner, Mysore district, submitting the
for sanction, proposals for the revision of
the rates of certain servants in the ...
Srikanteswara Swami Temple at Nanjangud, ...
a view to regulate the finances of the said
Institution.

---

Order No. 934-7 ... Dasara
Dated Bangalore, the ... 1920

The temple of Sri Srikanteswara Swami
at Nanjangud, which is one of the most im-
portant of the Mysore Institutions in the
State, has now been, for some time past,
the subject of much explanation, and
the question of augmenting its resources --
has been considered by the authorities as well as the local and the Mysore
Government officers. The matter was fully d...
... at a meeting of ... held
the temple which is ... which the Mysore ...
was ...

2. It is ... that the rates of certain ... in the Nanjangud ... fees for ... every ... in offering ... the temple ...

residents of Nanjangud being however exempted from the operation of this rule, and

(b) outside when the deity is taken in procession on the Car.

The Deputy Commissioner, ~~of the~~ Mysore District states that he fully concurs with the opinion of the Dharmadarsis in the matter and recommends that the rates of Sevarthas as proposed by them may be sanctioned.

3. In these circumstances, Government are pleased to sanction the levy of the revised rates of fees in the temple as per statement appended.

4. The Deputy Commissioner is requested to submit at an early date his views on the other resolutions of the Dharmadarsis.

13/8/20

Secretary to Government,
Muzrai Department.

To

The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

The Comptroller to Government.

The Muzrai Superintendent in Mysore.

The Huzur Secretary to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

---

HRR.

20-21
Mesop
32-20.

6751

1920 21

From

To

Dated 22

Despatched

Received 26 MAR 1925

General No. Reference

No.

Enclosure No.

Re

Encld from Resident
BL 21/3

Office of the Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District

Dated, Mysore, 22nd Nov.

L.F. Bowring, Esquire,

Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

T.E.Jayarama Iyer, Esq, B.A.,B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Muzrai Department, BANGALORE.

Sir,

Adverting to para 4 of G.O.No.934-7 Muz.32-20-2 dated 7th September 1920 calling for my views on the other resolutions of the Dharamadarsis of the Nanjangud temple in the matter of augmenting the resources of the said temple, I have the honor to request that you will be so good as to forward to this office for reference, the file of correspondence ending with Nanjangud Sub Division Officer's letter No.R.H.C.39-20-21 dated 14th July 1920 at an early date.

I have the honor to be,

Sir

Your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

R. S. VI—G/32]

BJECT .....

.....

.....

*Diary No. of letter replied to*_____

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191 .

Date of issue 8.4.21

Signed by

Section

File No. 3

Serial No 4

No. 3511/8 ...

To

The Deputy Commissioner

Nepoore Dt

Sir,

~~With reference to yo~~

le Lr No. ~~Refe 5064/20-21 dt 22-3-21~~

I am directed to

~~request you the return of the~~

Vernacular records

in the matter of

~~the~~ ^ regulating the rights

of the Sri Srikanteshwara

Swami Temple Nanjangud, &

as requested in your letter no. Refer

~~You are requested to return the~~

~~Vernacular records in the~~

5064/20-21 & 22-3-21.

over: Letter

Secy to

Govt

Revenue Dept